

## Summit 4- Logic Model Feedback

October 23, 2019

### Supported:

- Add “reflection peer support” to 3<sup>rd</sup> short term outcome (“Child care health consultants have opportunities for coaching, mentoring, and networking with other child care health consultants.”) and reflective supervision (e.g., feedback loop)
- Add county financial support for providers who can’t afford CCHC
- Remove “strengthen career pathways” and keep it well-prepared
- 1<sup>st</sup> define “entity” and “private consultant”
- Acknowledge secondary supports for consultant. E.g., do visit with CC provider for one thing and other things come up. How can they be supported to address those other things?
- How is equity measured for long-term? (E.g., need for differentiated supports for CCHC POCs?)

### Accessible:

- Question: who is determining licensure, credentialing, and training of CCHC?
- Question: who is identifying community leader? (Outside opinion may not = inside opinion)
- Workforce issue: What is compensation of consultants?
- What is the definition of accessible? Who decides that?
- Trainings: ensure they are accessible for providers (time of day, location, etc.)
- Develop funding model to offer living wages to consultants without adding cost burden to providers
- 

### Well-prepared:

- Gain a formative, in depth understanding of child care providers’ needs → data and evaluation
- Embrace more diverse perspectives on what it is to be a consultant
- Short term outcome and activities re: relationship development customization (responsiveness, flexibility, required of consultants)
- Who decides training, licensure, and credentialing?
- Be distinct about experience and expertise (e.g., a CC provider or consultant can have experience working with Somali family but cannot speak with all Somali families; not expert)
- Content specialist and coaching competencies are 2 different things
- Where is equity in long-term outcome? What are our goals related to equitable, well-prepared workforce? What would that look like?

### Working Cohesively:

- Lots of focus on working cohesively with external folks (licensors, etc.)- how do we integrate and work cohesively across different types of CCHCs?
- Utilize WA compass to connect providers with H.C.
- Possibly eliminate “merit” #2 activity
- Community of practice needs support
- From working cohesively:

- The 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet of activities (“Support, create, or coordinate cross organizational hub and/or online centralized access point; support and expand statewide database of health consultants through MERIT (service description, consumer and quality).”) needs to be in accessible
- Put activity in evaluation outcome it applies to
- Move 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet activity (“Develop centralized 24-hour call line aligned with Help Me Grow and supported by referral protocol for entities interfacing with diverse caregivers.”) to accessible
  - Question: is 24-hour line for both providers and families? It should be (which means 2 different data systems)

#### Provider Videos—Comments from Audience

- FFN’s are isolated
- Make CCHC more integrated
  - System is cut up
  - More choices? But does fragmentation impact inclusion?
- Personalized support for child care providers (not just customized)
- Providers want space to talk together
- Providers want in-classroom support (not just at care setting off to side)